

# Video killed the Macintosh star: the definitive risk management experience of E. Profili Hospital in Fabriano on routine pediatric videolaryngoscopy

E. Pisello<sup>1</sup>, M. Ciuffreda<sup>2</sup>, J. Silvestri<sup>1</sup>, L. Brugiaferri<sup>1</sup>, S. Sorrenti<sup>1</sup>, U.W. Basso<sup>1</sup>, D. Galante<sup>3</sup>, C. Piangatelli<sup>4</sup>

Corresponding author: M. Ciuffreda, Medical executive in Anaesthesia, Resuscitation, Intensive Care and Pain Management Unit, AST Ancona, Fabriano, Italy. Email: ciuffredamat@libero.it

## Keypoints

- 1. 30% of anaesthesia-related accidents are caused by difficult airway management; 70% of these accidents result in permanent brain damage or even death. Airway risk management plays an essential role in current anaesthesiological practice.
- Videolaryngoscopy is considered the main technique to facilitate tracheal intubation and reduce its complications; its shared view promotes teamwork, reducing risks and complications related to ineffective team communication.
- 3. Videolaryingoscopy has been routine practice in the operating block of Profili Hospital in Fabriano since November 2021. Routinary use of videolaryngoscopy allowed us to encourage and optimise teamwork, including training; to reduce the time spent in the operating room and the use of additional devices for managing difficult airways; to completely decrease clinical risk of difficult intubations, eliminating the impossible ones; to overcome the limits of the Colorado Pediatric Airway Score, a score predicting difficult intubation in children, allowing us to easily manage any unexpected difficult airway; to hypothesize, for the near future, the abandonment of scores and parameters predicting difficult intubation, with huge benefits in terms of time spent on surgical patient preoperative evaluation.

## **Abstract**

## Introduction

Airway management in tracheal intubation represents one of the crucial issues in current anaesthesiological practice, in which risk management plays an essential role. At the moment, videolaryngoscopy is considered the main technique to facilitate tracheal intubation and reduce its complications. In the operating block of Profili Hospital in Fabriano videolaryingoscopy has been the routine practice since November 2021.

Pisello et al. Pediatric videolaryngoscopy

# Objectives

Evaluation of the routine use of videolaryngoscopy as a mitigator of clinical risk and unexpected difficulties occurring during tracheal intubation in the pediatric surgical setting. Comparison between Fremantle Videolaryngoscope Scoring System and the Colorado Pediatric Airway Score, a score predicting difficult intubation in children.

## Material and Methods

Preliminary prospective observational study of 137 pediatric patients (aged from 3 to 16 years of age) undergoing

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Specialist trainee in Anaesthesia, Resuscitation, Intensive Care and Pain Management, Università Politecnica delle Marche, Ancona, Italy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Medical executive, Anaesthesia, Resuscitation, Intensive Care and Pain Management Unit, AST Ancona, Fabriano, Italy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Medical Director, Anaesthesia, Resuscitation, Intensive Care and Pain Management Unit, Cerignola, Italy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Medical Director, Anaesthesia, Resuscitation, Intensive Care and Pain Management Unit, AST Ancona, Fabriano, Italy.

surgery, assessed through the previously mentioned scores and classifications.

#### Results

First attempt tracheal intubation achieved in 95,62% of children, without using any additional device. No intubation was impossible, regardless of the difficulties predicted by the Colorado Pediatric Airway Score and the videolaryngoscopic view obtained. All difficult tracheal intubations not predicted by parameters and scores were successfully performed (2,92% in our case series).

#### Conclusion

Routinary use of videolaryngoscopy has encouraged and optimised teamwork, including training; reduced the time spent in the operating room and the use of additional devices for managing difficult airways; completely decreased clinical risk of difficult intubations, eliminating the impossible ones; made it possible to overcome the limits of the Colorado Pediatric Airway Score, a score predicting difficult intubation in children, allowing us to manage easily any unexpected difficult airways; permitted the hypothesis of abandoning, for the near future, scores and parameters predicting difficult intubation, with huge benefits in terms of time spent on surgical patient preoperative evaluation.

# Keywords

Routine videolaringoscopy; videolaringoscopy; pediatric airways risk management; clinical risk; pediatric tracheal intubation; pediatric airways management; anesthesia clinical risk management.

### Introduction

Initially conceived as a response to the increasing number of medico-legal disputes and their economic impact, enriched over time with deontological values, health risk management represents today the set of multidisciplinary complex actions carried out to improve health care quality in order to ensure the maximum safety of the patient, a safety based on learning from mistakes, which, although ineliminable from human reality, can be controlled.

The perioperative clinical risk, i.e. the likelihood that a patient will suffer an adverse event, i.e. suffer any harm or discomfort, even if unintentionally produced, as a result of medical and surgical procedures performed during the perioperative period, is associated with a prolonged period of hospitalisation, worsening of health conditions or death, with increased economic and social costs. The basis of clinical risk is error, the probability of making a mistake. An active or executive error, i.e. committed by professionals in direct contact with the patient, often arises from a latent or planning error, which is remote in time and related to system design decisions. The system can therefore be wrong and can create the circumstances for an error to occur; these circumstances remain latent until the operator's error makes them manifest. Controlling these circumstances through the creation of ideal working conditions in fact represents the only way to make the whole process safe<sup>1</sup>(Table 1 and Table 2). Human error has always been considered primarily for its

consequences on patient outcome without considering the impact it also has on the health of health workers themselves in terms of guilt, anxiety and depression. The pervasive culture of perfectionism, intolerance to mistakes and blaming the individual, typical of medical settings, together with the lack of staff and support from the management amplify these negative effects<sup>2</sup>. Complications relating to airway management continue to be the subject of medical and legal disputes throughout the western world<sup>3-6</sup>, and these disputes are due to both technical issues and team issues, the so-called "non-technical skills". Several American studies on adverse events in anaesthesia based on closed claims indicate that respiratory events are responsible for 17% of disputes, of which 27% are due to poor management or problems regarding airways. Three quarters of adverse respiratory events are due to three causes of injury: inadequate ventilation (38% of cases), esophageal intubation (18% of cases) and difficult tracheal intubation (17% of cases)<sup>7,8</sup> Cook TM et al. report an incidence of a major complication (death or post-anoxic coma) every 22.000 general anaesthesias9. 30% of accidents resulting exclusively from anaesthesia are caused by difficulty in managing the airway; 70% of these accidents result in permanent brain damage or even death<sup>10</sup>. It can be estimated that every year in Italy there are at least 10-15 new cases of severe acquired brain injury, which means conditions leading to a more or less prolonged state of coma (usually lasting no less than 24 hours) and sensorimotor, cognitive or behavioural damage, resulting in significant disability. In terms of prevalence, it can be assumed that between 300 and 800 people per 100,000 have a severe acquired brain injury, with a predominantly traumatic aetiology (62.14% of cases). Regarding the vegetative state the prevalence is about 6-10 cases per 100,000 inhabitants, with an estimated incidence, six months after the event of acute brain injury, ranging from 0.5 to 4 per 100,000 inhabitants<sup>11-13</sup>. There is general consensus in literature that airway management mortality today is still mainly due to organisational failures, lack of communication and inadequate strategy, especially in terms of foresight<sup>14,15</sup>.

It has been reported that the human factor can contribute between 40% to 100% in the failure of adequate airway management: part of the difficulty lies in the way the team acts during the planning and execution of an expected difficult intubation and, more importantly, in an unexpected one <sup>16,17</sup>.

Training in the management of difficult airways can reduce the possibility of error, whether conducted in the field or in simulated scenarios. However, it should be noted that years of experience in the field differs from expertise, intended as a real training programme towards gradually more complicated scenarios, adapted to the skills and knowledge of the individual operator<sup>20,21</sup>.

The international literature shows that difficult intubation in non-obstetrical-gynecological adult surgery has an incidence of 3-8%, with an incidence of impossible intubation of 0.006-0.4%. In gynaecological-obstetrical surgery the incidence of difficult intubation is 1.6-5.7% with impossible intubations less than 0.7%. In paediatric surgery the incidence of difficult intubation is 0.2-5.5%, with *Pisello et al. Pediatric videolaryngoscopy* 

impossible intubations of 0.08%; instead, the prevalence of difficult OTI is 1-2%, a proportion which rises to 50% when considering the subpopulation of paediatric patients with cervical spine diseases<sup>22-26</sup>. Among obese patients the incidence of difficult intubation can be up to  $15\%^{27}$ .

The Canadian Airway Focus Group currently recommends videolaryngoscopy as the main technique to facilitate orotracheal intubation on first attempt and to reduce complications associated with the manoeuvre. A successful first attempt actually accelerates the whole oro-nasotracheal intubation manoeuvre, with obvious benefits also in economic terms due to the optimisation of operating room utilization time and the reduction in the need for additional difficult intubation devices. The study group also emphasises that the referral hospital, which provides the devices required to manage difficult airways, must also make them easily and immediately accessible if necessary<sup>18,19</sup>.

The use of videolaryngoscope also promotes teamwork and the involvement of team members by visually sharing the findings during the intubation manoeuvre. A shared view can in fact optimise team communication by accelerating and facilitating steps and procedures, thus reducing risks and complications related to ineffective communication.



Potential human factor issues during patient evaluation and airway management decision-making, with suggested mitigation strategies						
Issue	Possible mitigation strategies:					
***************************************	by the airway manager	by the assembled team	by the organization			
Failure to match planned strategy with the findings of airway evaluation (anatomy, physiology, and clinical context)	Review your planned strategy for a high-risk or difficult case with a colleague.     With predicted difficulty, before proceeding, ensure that all equipment for your airway strategy (i.e., planned primary and fallback techniques) is physically present, sized for the patient, and arranged in the order of anticipated use. This well help ensure you have thought through the situation.	<ul> <li>For all patients, brief the team on your chosen strategy, including your alternate plans if the intended technique fails, together with triggers for moving to an alternate plan.</li> <li>During the briefing, specifically empower team members to speak up if they think that a trigger has occurred.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The organization should mandate inclusion of the airway strategy in the first surgical safety checklist.</li> <li>Airway management education programs should include material or safe decision-making, rather than only teaching "hands-on" skills.</li> </ul>			
Maintenance of competence. Use of ATI is decreasing <sup>243</sup> . When difficulty is predicted, lack of recent experience, confidence, or skills in ATI might tempt the airway manager to avoid its use despite indicators of it being the safest approach. Lack of suitable equipment might also be a factor in some cases.	<ul> <li>Enlist a colleague to help perform ATI: you will both benefit from the experience.</li> <li>Seek opportunities to perform ATIs, rather than using excuses to avoid them.</li> <li>If the patient's anatomy is amenable, consider using a more familiar device for ATI (e.g., VL).</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>For the patient requiring ATI with obstructing pathology, a surgeon should be physically present to perform fallback eFONA.</li> </ul>	The organization should provide training and maintenance of competence workshops in ATI techniques, including use of the FB Provide airway simulators or standard airway training manikins for individual practice at any time.  Ensure equipment for all aspects of ATI is easily accessible at airway management locations.  Package all equipment and local anesthetics needed for topical airway anesthesia together in easily accessed "grab kits".			
"Production pressure" to get a case done might lead to an unsafe decision to manage a difficult airway patient after the induction of general anesthesia, when ATI might be the safer approach.	When sensing production pressure, (whether self-induced or from another source) push back by deliberately slowing to reflect on whether the pressure is adversely impacting your patient's safety.      Pre-empt any pushback on planned ATI by using "safest for the patient" language.	<ul> <li>Increase team buy-in by early communication with the surgeon and team when ATI is needed for an operative case.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Multidisciplinary team training or rounds on adverse airway events might help improve communication and cooperation for future difficult airway situations that involve multiple specialties.</li> </ul>			
"Normalization of deviance3": the airway manager might have managed a series of patients after the induction of general anesthesia where despite predictors of difficulty, none occurred. On the basis of thus "getting away with it" over time, inducing such patients might become a clinician's normal practice, rather than even considering ATI.	With significant predicted difficulty, if considering tracheal intubation after the induction of general anesthesia, as a thought exercise, satisfy yourself that it can occur with a margin of safety equal to or greater than ATI. If not, proceed with the ATI.      Beware of "gambler's fallacy": the false belief that the outcome of the current case is less (or more) likely given results of previous events. Judge every case on its own, based on findings from the airway evaluation.	Team members should be encouraged to speak up if uncomfortable with the airway manager's chosen approach. The "PACE" (probealert-challenge-emergency) or similar mnemonic can be used as a prompt by team members to question the planned approach.	<ul> <li>Appoint a hospital "airway lead" 244 in your department or hospital, tasked with ensuring a full array of difficult airway equipment is readily available across the institution, arranging airway education, including skills in ATI, and to help constructively debrief airway-related critical incidents and near-events.</li> </ul>			

ATI = awake tracheal intubation; eFONA = emergency front of neck airway access; FB = flexible bronchoscope; VL = video laryngoscopy.

**Table 1:** Potential human factor issues during patient evaluation and airway management decision-making, with suggested mitigation strategies. From Law, J.A., Duggan, L.V., Asselin, M. et al.<sup>18</sup>.



Potential human factor-related issues that may occur during management of the difficult airway in the unconscious patient, with mitigation strategies

Issue Possible mitigation strategies: by the airway manager by the assembled team by the organization Calling for help: The airway manager · Have personal triggers for calling for · Strongly consider physically attending · All departments should foster a culture might overlook calling for help when help, e.g., (1) whenever you first any request for backup, even if phrased of calling for help difficulty occurs. contemplate it; (2) failed intubation or as a "heads-up" • During team training, e.g., during in situ failed SGA insertion after a maximum · A helper should announce their arrival simulation sessions, requesting help of 3 attempts or (3) a CVCO situation. by asking "How can I help?" should always be debriefed as a critical · Recognize that a helper can provide · Any team member should be hands for tasks, so that the airway empowered to call for help, bring in manager can concentrate on the "big equipment, or call a code blue picture" and reduce their stress level. independently. · Consider making a habit of asking a colleague to physically stand by when inducing a patient with anticipated airway risk. Loss of "situation awareness." During an • Maintaining situation awareness · Perform a team briefing before · Mandate adherence to a standard airway crisis, it can be difficult to operating procedure for the difficult involves long-term memory content, embarking on all airway management. Include specific mention of triggers for correctly receive and process incoming which may be difficult to access during airway by using an algorithm or information. This will impair diagnosis a critical event. Help from other staff moving from one plan to the next and cognitive aid based on the algorithm. and decision-making and may promote provides the airway manager with empower all team members to speak up • Facilitate multidisciplinary in situ team inappropriate fixation on a single additional processing capacity for once they feel a trigger has occurred. simulation to practice using the integration of basic information. 285,286 familiar but ineffective technique · Team members should be trained in the algorithm or cognitive aid for difficult (perseveration). · Call for help after 3 failed attempts at interpretation of waveform airway scenarios. A major objective capnography and pulse oximetry and the intended technique: a fresh pair of during such sessions is to encourage eyes will help interrupt perseveration. should be empowered to declare when and empower all team members to Be alert for the "change blindness" 200,201 that can occur when a waveform capnography is nonspeak up. reassuring or the SpO2 is decreasing. · Airway workshops should include critical airway event evolves over time. · Ensure all team members have been education on non-technical as well as A newly arrived helper may better be technical skills. Common cognitive empowered to suggest using an SGA able to see the obvious. for rescue or CVCO at any time and errors should be addressed. · Use difficult airway techniques in daythat they know the equipment's to-day routine practice (e.g., the location. combination of VL and FB) so that their use is practiced, and so that you think of them when in difficulty. Fear. Faced with a hypoxemic patient, the • Call for help early in any evolving • During an airway crisis, team members • High acuity but rare events such as airway manager might experience a airway event. Not being emotionally must recognize that the airway CVCO should be "overlearned" during simulation sessions.286 This will help maladaptive sympathetic response. invested, a newly arrived colleague manager who induced the patient is demystify them and make their This might include fight (e.g., arguing might possess better situation deeply emotionally invested. They with team members); flight (e.g., might be experiencing a profound management more routine in awareness disbelief of patient vital signs) or clinicians' minds. sympathetic response, compromising · Have a strategy (a coordinated series of freeze (e.g., not performing eFONA thinking or motor skills. Any team plans) for encountering difficulty in all when indicated): member should call for help if they feel patients, whether predicted or not. it is in the best interest of the patient. Moving smoothly and deliberately through the steps of a pre-planned · Once qualified help arrives, the initial strategy will help keep you in control airway manager should consider of yourself as well as the situation. moving to a supportive role on the Mentally rehearse the strategy on a team, providing information and regular basis. suggestions. Barriers to use of eFONA can include not • By training in eFONA, all airway • Team performance in rare emergencies . The organization should ensure that all knowing which procedure to employ managers must be prepared to proceed such as CVCO benefits from in situ airway managers are trained in and ("device confusion"), lack of with eFONA themselves prepared to perform eFONA confidence in one's ability to perform • Deliberately practice eFONA on a part- • Swapping team roles during simulation · Minimize choices to a single technique the procedure, or a "freeze" response task trainer at least twice a year. sessions may reveal latent errors in for high-stress procedures such as to fear. The reluctance to act may eFONA (e.g., scalpel-bougie-tube for communication and equipment, · When encountering difficulty, follow the manifest by insisting a surgeon or the adult patient). department's recommended algorithm better qualified person be called to · Make task trainers easily accessible for or cognitive aid. perform eFONA. individual clinician eFONA practice. This can include 3D-printed models of

**Table 2:** Potential human-factor related issues that may occur during management of the difficult airway in the unconscious patient, with mitigation strategies. From Law, J.A., Duggan, L.V., Asselin, M. et al.<sup>19</sup>.

the larvnx.



## **Objectives**

The main objective of this study is to evaluate the routine use of videolaryngoscopy as a clinical risk and unexpected difficulties mitigator during oro- and nasotracheal intubation of pediatric patients undergoing scheduled or emergency surgery. The secondary objective is comparing the Colorado Pediatric Airway Score, a score predicting difficult intubation in children, with the findings during oro-nasotracheal intubation under videolaryngoscopic vision according to the Fremantle classification.

# **Material and Methods**

For the purpose of this prospective, non-interventional, non-pharmacological, descriptive observational study, 137 pedriatric patients (aged from 3 to 16 years of age) were recruited at the E. Profili Hospital in Fabriano -UOC Anesthesia, Intensive Care, Pain Therapy. Such patients underwent in a scheduled or emergency regimen in the specialties of general surgery, urology, ophthalmology, odontostomatology, otorhinolaryngol ogy, orthopaedics. Preoperative data such as surgical specialty, type of surgery, gender, age, weight, height, BMI, neck circumference measured in cm and Colorado Pediatric Airway Score (COPUR) (Table 3) were collected for each patient recruited. During the intubation manoeuvre through videolaryngoscope, visual findings were assessed using the Fremantle classification (Table 4). All data were collected using a computerised data sheet.

Colorado Pe	diatric Airway Score (COPUR)	Points		
C: chin				
From the	side view, is the chin			
Normal size?				
Small, moderately hypoplastic?		2		
Markedly recessive?		3		
Extrem	nely hypoplastic?	4		
O: opening				
Interdental	distance between the front teeth			
>40 mm		1		
20-40 m	20-40 mm			
10-20 m	m	3		
<10 mm		4		
P: previous	intubations, OSA (obstructive sleep apnoea)			
	intubations without difficulty	1		
No past in	ntubations, no evidence of OSA	2		
Previous	difficult intubations, or symptoms of OSA	3		
	intubation—extreme or	4		
unsucc	essful; emergency tracheotomy; unable to sleep supine			
U: uvula				
Mouth op	en, tongue out, observe palate			
Tip of	uvula visible	1		
Uvula	partially visible	2		
Uvula	concealed, soft palate visible	3		
Soft pa	late not visible at all	4		
R: range				
Observe l	ine from ear to orbit, estimate range of movement, looking	up		
and do	wn			
$> 120^{\circ}$		1		
60-12	0°	2		
30-60	0	3		
<30°		4		
Modifiers: a	dd point for			
Prominen	t front 'buck' teeth	1		
Very larg	e tongue, macroglossia	1		
Extreme	obesity	1		
Mucopoly	ysaccharidoses	2		
Predictions		Glotti		
Points	Intubation difficulty	view		
5-7	Easy, normal intubations	1		
8-10	More difficult, laryngeal pressure may help	2		
12	Difficult intubation, fibreoptic less traumatic	3		
14	Difficult intubation, requires fibreoptic or other	3		
	advanced methods			
16	Dangerous airway, consider awake intubation,	4		
	advanced methods, potential tracheotomy (Patients			
	with hypercarbia awake, severe obstruction)			
16+	Scores > 16 are usually incompatible with life without			
	an artificial airway			

Table 3: "Colorado Pediatric Airway Score (COPUR)" from Lane G.28



FREMANTLE SCORE COMPONENTS					
View	F (full)				
	P (partial)				
	N (none)		$\sim$		
	1 - Easy	TT passed first time using manufactures technique			
Ease	2 - Modified	TT passed with more than 1 attempt or a modified technique or adjunct used			
	3 - Unachivable	Unable to pass TT			
Device	Name of the device and blade used				

**Table 4:** "Fremantle videolaryngoscopy classification" from Swann AD et al.  $^{29}$  and O'Loughlin et al.  $^{30}$ 



#### **Results and Discussion**

Videolaryngoscopy has become the routine practice in the operating block of Profili Hospital in Fabriano since November 2021, in the context of elective and emergency surgery pediatric patients. Each operating room was therefore equipped with a videolaryngoscope and a complete set of blades, including a special blade for difficult intubations. Our case history shows that 95,62% of pediatric patients underwent oro-nasotracheal intubation at the first attempt, with no need, in any case, for additional devices and with the margin of human error reduced to a minimum. The clear benefit of less time spent on the manoeuvre has led to an optimisation of the operating lists in our operating block, as well as a reduction in costs derived from a more efficient use of the operating room and no need for additional devices for difficult intubations. A more rapid manoeuvre represented an important benefit for the patient in terms of clinical risk, unexpected events and possible complications. The routine use of videolaryngoscopy for pediatric patients has allowed us to eliminate impossible intubations regardless of laryngoscopic view and its consequences, both clinical (e.g. admission in UTI), organizational (e.g. postponement of surgery) and psychological (e.g. work-related stress for operators). A Colorado Pediatric Airway Score of more than 7 was found in 8,03% of cases, indicating a possible problematic intubation; in these patients, however, we obtained a complete videolaryngoscopic view in 100% of cases and 80% of the patients were intubated at the first attempt despite not using in any case the special blade for difficult intubations. A Colorado Pediatric Airway Score of 7 or less, predictive of a non-problematic intubation, was found in 91,97% of pediatric patients; in these patients, however, we found a partial videolaryngoscopic view in 2,92% of cases. 4,37% of cases required a second intubation attempt. A standard blade was used in 99,27% of cases. The benefit of the routine use of videolaryngoscopy therefore largely exceeds that of the predictive scores of difficult intubation in children, since it has guaranteed successful intubation in 100% of cases regardless

of the predicted difficulty. From the perspective of risk management, a routine use of videolaryngoscopy has allowed us to successfully perform all difficult tracheal intubations not predicted by the Colorado Pediatric Airway Score, which amount, in our case series, to 2,92%. Such findings are aligned to results obtained in our previous preliminary study. It is therefore natural to wonder about the opportunity, in the near future, to replace predicting scores with the routine use of a highly effective device such as the video-laryngoscope. Videolaryngoscopy has also been of great benefit to the teamwork of Profili Hospital in Fabriano, facilitating collaboration and interaction among the operators and accelerating and optimising the entire tracheal intubation procedure. The shared view of the glottic plane favoured by this device was useful both for correcting operator-dependent errors and for training resident doctors (all results are showed in Table 5).



VARIABLE	EVALUATION	N° (%)
Gender	Male	
	Female	60
Age (years)	3-6	82
	7-12	35
	13-16	20
BMI	< 30	135
	≥ 30	2
Neck circumference	< 30	76
	≥ 30	61
Chin characteristics	Normal size	130
	Small, moderately hypoplastic	6
	Markedly recessive	1
	Extremely hypoplastic	0
Interdental distance between the front teeth (cm)	<1	0
, ,	1-2	0
	2-4	11
	> 4	126
History of OTI and OSAS	Previous OTI not difficult	16
	Never OTI, no OSAS	103
	OTI difficult or OSAS	18
	Failed OTI, emergency tracheostomy or sleeping prone	0
Uvula	Tip visible	122
	Partially visible	14
	Not visible, only soft palate	1
	Soft palate not visible at all	0
Head flexion-extension (degrees)	> 120	135
	60-120	1
	30-60	1
	< 30	0
Modifiers	Prominent front "buck" teeth	10
	Macroglossia	4
	Extreme obesity	2
	Mucopolysaccharidosis	0
Colorado Pediatric Airway Score (COPUR)	5-7	126
	8-10	10
	11-12	1
	14	0
	16	0
	> 16	0
View of the vocal cords with videolaringoscopy	Total	133
	Partial	4
	None	0

Table 5: Paediatric patients results.



## **Conclusion**

Airway management is one of the key issues in current anaesthesiological practice, in which human error plays an important role.

The routine use of videolaryngoscopy in the operating block of Profili Hospital in Fabriano has made it possible:

- To encourage and optimise training and teamwork;
- To optimise economic resources, obtaining a reduction in time spent in the operating room and a reduction in the use of additional devices for managing difficult airways;
- To completely eliminate the clinical risk of difficult intubation, achieving correct tracheal intubation in 100% of cases, eliminating impossible intubations and their clinical and organisational consequences, particularly in paediatric patients, who are a particularly high-risk category in airway management;
- To overcome the limits of the Colorado Pediatric Airway Score (COPUR), a score predicting difficult intubation in children, making it easy to manage unpredicted difficult airways.
- To hypothesize, for the near future the abandonment of predictive scores of difficult intubation, with enormous benefits on the time spent in the preoperative evaluation of the surgical patient.

## **Disclosures**

## Ethical Committee approval

Ethical approval was obtained from the regional ethical committee (Comitato Etico Regionale delle Marche - CERM), protocol no: 2022 82 (09-06-2022, Det. n. 1148/AV2 23/06/2022).

#### Funding

The authors declare no funding for the production and publication of this article.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

- Commissione tecnica sul rischio clinico Ministero della salute, Dipartimento della Qualità. Risk Management in Sanità, il problema degli errori. Marzo 2004, Roma.
- Robertson JJ, Long B. Suffering in Silence: Medical Error and its Impact on Health Care Providers. J Emerg Med. 2018 Apr;54(4):402-409. doi: 10.1016/j.jemermed.2017.12.001. Epub 2018 Feb 1. PMID: 29366616.
- Joffe AM, Aziz MF, Posner KL, Duggan LV, Mincer SL, Domino KB. Management of difficult tracheal intubation: a closed claims analysis. Anesthesiology 2019; 131: 818-29.
- Crosby ET, Duggan LV, Finestone PJ, Liu R, De Gorter R,Calder LA. Anesthesiology airway-related medicolegal cases from the Canadian Medical Protection Association. Can JAnesth 2021; 68: 183-95.
- Cook T, Woodall N, Frerk C. 4th National Audit Project of the Royal College of Anaesthetists and the Difficult Airway Society. Major complications of airway management in the United Kingdom. London: The Royal College of Anaesthetists; 2011.
- Kluger MT, Culwick MD, Moore MR, Merry AF. Aspiration during anaesthesia in the first 4000 incidents reported to webAIRS. Anaesth Intensive Care 2019; 47: 442-51.
- Caplan RA, Posner KL, Ward RJ, Cheney FW. Adverse respiratory events in anesthesia: a closed claims analysis. Anesthesiology. 1990 May;72(5):828-33. doi: 10.1097/00000542-199005000-00010. PMID: 2339799.
- 8. Metzner J et al. Closed claims' analysis. Best Pract Res Clin Anaesthesiol. 2011 Jun;25(2):263-76.
- Cook TM et al. Major complications of airway management in the UK: results of the Fourth National
  Audit Project of the Royal College of Anaesthetists
  and the Difficult Airway Society. Part 1: anaesthesia.
  Br J Anaesth. 2011;106(5):617-31.

- Corso RM, Buccioli M, Agnoletti V et al. Un sistema informatizzato per la gestione peri-operatoria delle vie aeree: il progetto Vie Aeree Difficili. Evidence 2013;5(1): e1000031.
- Ministero della Salute Gruppo di lavoro DM 15 ottobre 2008 Sottosegretario on. Eugenia Roccella.
   Stato vegetativo e di minima coscienza, epidemiologia, evidenze scientifiche, e modelli assistenziali.
   Documento finale dicembre 2009.
- 12. Regione Toscana, delibera n.1374 del 10-12-2018. Organizzazione delle unità di accoglienza per persone con grave disabilità conseguente a patologia neurologica acquisita al termine della fase acuta-subacuta (USV) e per persone in fase di esiti (SUAP).
- Senato della Repubblica XVIII Legislatura. Fascicolo Iter DDL S. 131. Disposizioni per rafforzare l'assistenza dei pazienti in stato vegetativo o di minima coscienza cronici. 13 febbraio 2022.
- Linee di indirizzo per la gestione delle vie aeree nell'adulto - Regione Emilia-Romagna - Novembre 2018
- Auroy Y, Benhamou D, Péquignot F, Bovet M, Jougla E, Lienhart A. Mortality related to anaesthesia in France: analysis of deaths related to airway complications. Anaesthesia 2009;64:366-70.
- Joffe AM, Aziz MF, Posner KL, Duggan LV, Mincer SL, Domino KB. Management of difficult tracheal intubation: a closed claims analysis. Anesthesiology 2019; 131: 818-29.
- 17. Flin R, Fioratou E, Frerk C, Trotter C, Cook TM. Human factors in the development of complications of airway management: preliminary evaluation of an interview tool. Anaesthesia 2013; 68: 817-25.
- 18. Law, J.A., Duggan, L.V., Asselin, M. et al. Canadian Airway Focus Group updated consensus-based recommendations for management of the difficult airway: part 2. Planning and implementing safe management of the patient with an anticipated difficult airway. Can J Anesth/J Can Anesth 68, 1405–1436 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1007/s12630-021-02008-z

- Law JA, Duggan LV, Asselin M, Baker P, Crosby E, Downey A, Hung OR, Jones PM, Lemay F, Noppens R, Parotto M, Preston R, Sowers N, Sparrow K, Turkstra TP, Wong DT, Kovacs G; Canadian Airway Focus Group. Canadian Airway Focus Group updated consensus-based recommendations for management of the difficult airway: part 1. Difficult airway management encountered in an unconscious patient. Can J Anaesth. 2021 Sep;68(9):1373-1404. doi: 10.1007/s12630-021-02007-0. Epub 2021 Jun 18. PMID: 34143394; PMCID: PMC8212585.
- Ericsson KA. Deliberate practice and acquisition of expert performance: a general overview. Acad Emerg Med 2008; 15:988-94.
- Baker PA, Greif RT. Airway management education. In: Hagberg CA, Artime C, Aziz MF, editors.
   Hagberg and Benumof's Airway Management. 4th ed. Philadelphia: Elsevier; 2018. p. 891-910.
- 22. Dr. Khandelwal M., Dr. Kulshrestha, Preoperative Airway Assessment – https://www.slideshare.net/rosesrred90/airway-assessment).
- 23. Norskov AK, Wetterslev J, Rosenstock CV, et al. Prediction of difficult mask ventilation using a systematic assessment of risk factors vs. existing practice - a cluster randomised clinical trial in 94,006 patients. Anaesthesia 2017; 72: 296-308.
- 24. Aziz MF, Kim D, Mako J, Hand K, Brambrink AM. A retrospective study of the performance of video laryngoscopy in an obstetric unit. Anesth Analg 2012; 115: 904-6.
- 25. Heinrich S, Irouschek A, Prottengeier J, Ackermann A, Schmidt J. Adverse airway events in parturient compared with non-parturient patients. Is there a difference? Results from a quality management project. J Obstet Gynaecol Res 2015; 41: 1032-9.
- 26. Engelhardt T, Virag K, Veyckemans F, Habre W; APRICOT Group of the European Society of Anaesthesiology Clinical Trial Network. Airway management in paediatric anaesthesia in Europe-insights

- from APRICOT (Anaesthesia Practice In Children Observational Trial): a prospective multicentre observational study in 261 hospitals in Europe. Br J Anaesth 2018; 121: 66-75.
- Ch. Perruchoud, Dept Anesthesiologie, Hopital del Morges. Vie aeree difficili: evoluzione nel corso della vita.
- 28. Lane G. Intubation techniques. Oper Tech Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2005; 16: 166–70.
- Swann AD, English JD, O'Loughlin EJ. The development and preliminary evaluation of a proposed new scoring system for videolaryngoscopy. Anaesthesia and Intensive Care 2012; 40:697–701.
- O'Loughlin, E.J., Swann, A.D., English, J.D. and Ramadas, R. (2017), Accuracy, intra- and inter-rater reliability of three scoring systems for the glottic view at videolaryngoscopy. Anaesthesia, 72: 835-839.